



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

MAR 10 2003

Fenwick & West LLP
Silicon Valley Center
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041

MAILED

MAR 10 2003

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
TC 3600

In re application of
Walter W. Lee et al.
Application No. 09/675,992
Filed: September 29, 2000
For: SCORE BASED DECISIONING

: DECISION ON REQUEST
: FOR WITHDRAWAL OF
: ATTORNEY

This is a decision on the request filed on November 4, 2002, under 37 CFR 1.36 and MPEP 402.06, requesting permission to withdraw as the attorney of record in the above-identified application. There is no fee for this request.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

Under 37 CFR 1.36 an attorney may withdraw only upon application to and approval by the Commissioner. It should be noted that a withdrawal is effective when approved, not when filed. Besides giving due notice to his or her client and delivering to the client all papers and property to which the client is entitled as specified under 37 CFR 10.40, approval of such a request requires that the following conditions be met:

- A) Each attorney of record must sign the notice of withdrawal, or the notice must contain a clear indication of one attorney signing on behalf of another, because the Office does not recognize law firms;
- B) A proper reason for the withdrawal as enumerated in 37 CFR 10.40(b) or subsection (1)-(6) of 37 CFR 10.40(c) must be provided; and
- C) If withdrawal is requested in accordance with 37 CFR 10.40(c) above, there must be at least 30 days between approval of the withdrawal and the later of the expiration date of a time period for reply or the expiration date of the period which can be obtained by a petition and fee for extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The request to withdraw as attorney is not accepted in the above-identified application because the request lacks condition B) above.

As to condition B), the reason given, that "assignee has requested this matter be transferred to another law firm", is not acceptable. There is nothing in the file record that indicates that the assignee is the client. Absent a 3.73(b) statement, the "client" referred to in 37 CFR 10.40 is seen as being those individuals who conferred power upon the attorneys, in this case the inventors.



Steven N. Meyers
Special Programs Examiner
Patent Technology Center 3600
(703) 308-3868
(703) 605-0586 (facsimile)

SNM/tpl: 3/7/03